UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
v. Adrian Calderon-Gomez	Case No. 1:12-cr-00198-RHB	
Defendant Defendant	Case No. 1.12-ci-00 190-N1Ib	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Ba that the defendant be detained pending trial.	il Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require	
Part	I – Findings of Fact	
	ibed in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of ense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had	
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C which the prison term is 10 years or more	C. § 3156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for .	
an offense for which the maximum senten	nce is death or life imprisonment.	
an offense for which a maximum prison te	erm of ten years or more is prescribed in:	
a felony committed after the defendant ha U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable	nd been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 state or local offenses.	
any felony that is not a crime of violence b	out involves:	
a minor victim the possession or use of a fire a failure to register under 18 U	earm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon U.S.C. § 2250	
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was commit or local offense.	tted while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state	
	the date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the	
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable p person or the community. I further find that defe	resumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe endant has not rebutted that presumption.	
Alter	native Findings (A)	
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defe	ndant has committed an offense	
for which a maximum prison term of ten your Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 80)		
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption will reasonably assure the defendant's appearar	n established by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nce and the safety of the community.	
Alter ✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will no	rnative Findings (B) ot appear.	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will en	danger the safety of another person or the community.	
Part II – Statemer	nt of the Reasons for Detention	
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that: 1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not t 2. Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and w		

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	August 10, 2012	Judge's Signature: _	/s/ Timothy P. Greeley	
		Name and Title:	Timothy P. Greeley, U.S. Magistrate Judge	